

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/613,548	07/03/2003	James A. Stevens	5142-001	6693	
24112 . 75	· 7590 03/09/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
COATS & BENNETT, PLLC			LE, TAN		
P O BOX 5 RALEIGH, NO	27602		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3632		
		,	DATE MAILED: 03/09/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·]							
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/613,548	STEVENS ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	TI MAIL ING DATE ALL	Tan Le	3632				
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication app for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	utn tne correspondence address				
THI - Ex af - If - If - Fa AI	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY E MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. stensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thi vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[∑	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 N	ovember 2004.					
2a)[☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispos	sition of Claims						
5)[∑ 6)[∑	Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 24-33 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-23 and 34-55 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applica	ation Papers						
10)[2	☑ The specification is objected to by the Examine ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 July 2003</u> is/are: a)[Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct ☑ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☐ accepted or b)☒ obje drawing(s) be held in abeya ion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in a rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachm	•	_					
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Inf	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) uper No(s)/Mail Date	<u></u>	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/613,548 Page 2

Art Unit: 3632

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is the second office action for serial number 10/613,548. This application contains 55 claims numbered 1-55.

2. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-23, the species of Figures 2-19 in the reply filed on November 1, 2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the grounds that "the examiner's classification of the different independent claims in the instant application are in error, and the examiner's accompanying arguments supporting his claim groupings are inaccurate. Although the argument is not found to be persuasive in part because Applicants' argument regarding the species is not found persuasive and Applicants has failed to include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with the requirement and a listing of all claims readable thereon as required under 35 U.S.C 121. The examiner however, has reconsidered the argument and that claims 34-55 should have not been restricted as different classifications for the reasons pointed out in the previous election requirement. In addition to the reconsideration, the examiner has further withdrawing the species requirement and the restriction for claims 34-54 which was listed Groups III and Group IV in the previous office action to facilitate the prosecution of the case. Applicants' argument on group II. claims 24-33, which was drawn to a method of reinforcing system is not found persuasive although examiner appreciates the typo error pointing out by Applicants. Accordingly, claims 1-23 and 34-55 will be examined as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/613,548 Page 3

Art Unit: 3632

3

Claims 24-33 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Drawings

4. Figure s 1-3 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are also objected under 37 CFR 1.84: Fig. 4, 5A, 8A each appears to have more than just one part/figure. Each part/figure must have a Figure number, or Applicants must show a linked bracket indicating that the linked parts are belonged to one figure.

Numbering of sheets drawings has also not been shown. Correction is required.

Specification

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:On page 7, line 9, "Figs 5A-6F" should be changed to – Figs 5A-6C --

On page 7, line 23, "Fig. 4B" should be changed to – Fig. 4 --.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,548

Art Unit: 3632

On page 13, paragraph 0042, "sleeve nuts 52" should be changed to – sleeve nuts 62 --.

On page 18, paragraph 0058, "leg 2" should be changed to – leg 42 -- Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-23 and 34-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is rejected as being inconsistent between the language in the preamble and certain portions in the body of the claims, thereby making the scope of the claims unclear. Applicant is required to clarify what the claim is intended to be drawn to i.e, either an assembly alone or the combination of the assembly and the existing tower and the language of the claim be consistent with the intent. In formulating a rejection on the merits, the examiner is considering that the claim is drawn to the combination.

Claim 34 and 45 are rejected as being inconsistent between the language in the preamble and certain portions in the body of the claims, thereby making the scope of the claims unclear. Applicant is required to clarify what the claim is intended to be drawn to i.e, either a tower reinforced system alone or the combination of the tower

Art Unit: 3632

reinforced system and the existing tower and the language of the claim be consistent with the intent. In formulating a rejection on the merits, the examiner is considering that the claim is drawn to the combination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 7-8, 16-23, 34-44, 45-47 and 50-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6,108,996 to McGinnis.

McGinnis teaches an assembly for reinforcing an existing tower, the assembly comprising: a plurality of reinforcing legs (33) (Fig. 1), with each reinforcing leg (33) mounted adjacent a leg of the existing tower (11) such that the reinforcing leg shares loads with the leg; a plurality of braces (35), with each brace connected to and extending between pairs of reinforcing legs mounted on adjacent legs (13) of the existing tower (11); and wherein the plurality of reinforcing legs and braces form a reinforcing structural network that extends around at least a portion of the existing tower.

Regarding claim 2, wherein the existing tower comprises a series of sections (Col. 2, lines 36-40) substantially stacked one over the other, with each section including a series of legs and wherein there is provided joints between respective legs

Application/Control Number: 10/613,548

Art Unit: 3632

of adjacent sections of the existing tower, and wherein one or more of the plurality of legs is configured to be mounted adjacent a leg in a section of the existing tower and interposed between a pair of section joints such that the reinforcing leg shares loads transferred through the section joints.

Regarding claim 3, wherein the braces (35) are configured to connect to and extend between pairs of reinforcing legs in each section of the existing tower, such that the reinforcing legs and braces form a reinforcing structural network that extends around at least a portion of the existing tower.

Regarding claim 4, wherein the reinforcing legs include shim joints (43) for accommodating tower dimensional variances by adjusting nominal lengths of the reinforcing legs

Regarding claim 7, wherein the plurality of reinforcing legs comprises at least a set of reinforcing legs for each section of the existing tower to be reinforced.

Regarding claim 8, wherein the plurality of braces comprises at least a set of braces for each pair of reinforcing legs in a set of reinforcing legs and wherein there is provided a set of reinforcing legs for each section of the existing tower to be reinforced.

Regarding claim 16, wherein the reinforcing legs each comprise one or more rigid reinforcing leg sections, and wherein consecutive reinforcing leg sections of a given reinforcing leg are rigidly interconnected to achieve a desired length.

Regarding claim 17, wherein one or more of the reinforcing legs comprises two or more rigid reinforcing leg sections interconnected via bridging members (57).

Application/Control Number: 10/613,548

Art Unit: 3632

Regarding claim 18, wherein the reinforcing legs each comprise a corner member that wraps around adjacent tower faces to thereby provide mounting faces running lengthwise on either side of a leg of the existing tower.

Regarding claim 19, wherein the braces mount across a tower face by attaching to corresponding mounting faces of pairs of reinforcing legs.

Regarding claim 20, wherein the braces include mounting points that align with one of more of the mounting holes in the corresponding mounting faces of the pairs of reinforcing legs.

Regarding claim 21, further comprising a guy pull-off accessory (81, 83, 93) configured to mount t a reinforcing leg rather than to a leg of the existing tower.

Regarding claim 22, McGinnis further comprising a boom gate mount (143) (see other embodiment of Fig. 9, for example) configured to mount to a reinforcing leg rather than a leg of the existing tower.

Regarding claim 23, wherein the reinforcing legs comprise lengths of angled plate material, and wherein each reinforcing leg is configured to at least partially fit around a tower leg to which it is mounted.

Claims 34-44, 45-47 and 50-55 recited limitations similar to those recited in claims 1-4, 7-8 and 16-23 are therefore also disclosed by McGinnis where the bearing plate in this case could read as element 43.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 5-6, 9-15 and 48-49 are rejected but would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - 6,745,539 to Heim
 - 6,343,445 to Ryan
 - 4,216,636 to Cordell
 - 3,368,319 to Werner et al.
 - 3,112,015 to Anderson
 - 4,934,114 to Lindsey
 - US 2005/0001131A1 to Stevens et al.

The above patents disclose various types of tower assemblies.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-8244. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. through Fri. from 9:00 AM-6:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,548 Page 9

Art Unit: 3632

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie Braun can be reached on (703) 308-2156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ta

Tan Le Patent examiner March 1, 2005

RAMÓN O. RAMIREZ PRIMARY EXAMINER